

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
TOGETHER WITH AUDITORS' REPORTS

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Butte County, California

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TOGETHER WITH AUDITORS' REPORT

For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT Butte County, California

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS JUNE 30, 2012

Term Expires

President:

John J. Kiely

December 2014

Vice-President:

Dee G. Fairbanks

December 2012

Members:

Jack J. Ball Steven C. Onken T. C. Dennis December 2014 December 2014 December 2012

Virgil D. Long, District Manager Cynthia M. Quigley, Secretary/Treasurer

## LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Table Of Contents June 30, 2012

Basic Financial Statements	Exhibit	Page
Independent Auditors' Report		5 – 6
Management's Discussion and Analysis		7 – 10
Statement of Net Assets	One	11
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	Two	12
Statement of Cash Flows	Three	13
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	Four	14
Notes to Financial Statements		15 – 24
Supplemental Information	Schedule	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets - Budget and Actual	1	26
Compliance Section		
Report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards		28 – 29
Independent Accountants' Report on Agreed-Upon Procedures Applied to Appropriation Limit Worksheets		30 – 31
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance relating to the Sewerage Commission  – Oroville Region		32





Stephen B. Norman, CPA • PFS Stephen J. Herr, CPA Kerry A. Webber, CPA James L. Duckett, CPA

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Board of Directors Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District Oroville, California 95965

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District (The District) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the State Controller's *Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the respective change in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as well as accounting systems prescribed by the State Controller's Office and state regulations governing special districts.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 20, 2012, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, arrangements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 7 through 10 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquires, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.



Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's financial statements. The accompanying supplemental information on page 26 is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Davis Hammon & Co.

September 20, 2012

This discussion and analysis of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's financial performance provides an overview (Executive Summary) of the District's financial activities for the year ended June 30, 2012. It should be read in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements which begin on page 4. This annual financial report consists of two parts — Management's Discussion and Analysis, a format prescribed by the provisions of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement 34 (GASB 34) and the Basic Financial Statements.

#### **Basic Financial Statements**

The District's basic **financial statements** include five components:

- Statement of Net Assets Proprietary Fund Type
- Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets -Proprietary Fund Type
- Statement of Cash flows Proprietary Fund Type
- Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets Agency Fund
- Notes to the Financial Statements

The **Statement of Net Assets Proprietary Fund Type** includes all of the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as Net Assets. Net Assets are displayed in three categories:

- Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
- Restricted
- Unrestricted

This statement provides the basis for evaluating the capital structure of the District and assessing its liquidity and financial flexibility.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets - Proprietary Fund Type presents information which shows how the District's equity changed during each year. All of the year's revenues and expenses are recorded when the underlying transaction occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. The statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets measures the success of the District's operations during the year and determines whether the District has recovered its costs through user fees and other charges.

The Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Fund Type provides information regarding the District's cash receipts and cash disbursements during the year. This statement reports cash activity in four categories:

- Operating Activities
- Non-Capital Financing Activities
- Capital and Related Financing Activities
- Investing Activities

The Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets - Agency Fund includes all of the District's Villa Verona Assessment District assets and liabilities.

This statement differs from the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in equity by only accounting for transactions that result in cash receipts or cash disbursements.

The **Notes to the Financial Statements** provide a description of the accounting policies used to prepare the financial statements and present material disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles that are not otherwise present in the financial statements.

#### **Financial Highlights**

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the District's net assets decreased \$352,848 (3.6%). The District's operating revenues increased \$248,700 (33%) while operating expenses decreased \$12,089 (.7%).

#### Financial Analysis of the District

The District's total net assets decreased \$352,848 between fiscal years 2011 and 2012, from \$9.8 million to \$9.4 million. Equity invested in capital assets, net of related debt, decreased \$540,247 from \$8.3 million to \$7.7 million. Restricted net assets decreased \$109,754.

# Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's Net Assets (In Thousands of Dollars)

	2012	<u>2011</u>
Current and other assets Restricted and noncurrent assets Capital assets	\$508 1,505 12,320	\$233 1,613 12,925
Total Assets	14,333	14,771
Long-term debt Other Liabilities	4,539 386	4,616 394
Total Liabilities	4,925	5,010
Net Assets: Invest in capital assets, net of debt Restricted Unrestricted	7,726 1,296 386	8,266 1,406 89
Total Net Assets	\$9,408	\$9,761

Change in Net Assets - During the fiscal year 2012, 85% of the District's operating revenues came from sewer service charges. During the fiscal year 2011, 84% of the District's operating revenues came from sewer service charges.

The District's operating expenses decreased 0.7% to \$1,831,692 primarily due to a mid-year reduction in administration overhead costs.

The District's non-operating revenues, net of non-operating expenses, decreased 4.5% to \$478,447.

# Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's Changes in Equity (In Thousands of Dollars)

Revenues:	2012	<u>2011</u>
Charges for services Taxes Interest Loss on Abandonment of Equipment	\$ 1166 272 40 0	\$924 291 38 0
Total Revenues	1,478	1,253
Expenses  Administration and general  Sewage collection and services  Depreciation	629 573 630	694 530 <u>620</u>
Total Expenses	1,832	1,843
Change In Net Assets	\$(353)	<u>\$(590)</u>

## **Capital Assets**

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the District decreased its net investment in capital assets by \$604,247 to a total of 12.3 million after accumulated depreciation.

## Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's Capital Assets Net of Accumulated Depreciation

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Land Subsurface lines Sewer collection facilities General plant and administration facilities Construction-in-progress	\$107 7,903 2,130 1,712 468	\$107 8,205 2,219 1,938 456
Total Capital Assets	\$12,320	\$12,925

#### Capital Debt

No additional debt was issued during the year. More detailed information about the District's capital debt is presented in Note 5 of the financial statements.

#### **Economic Factors**

The District incurred a \$831,295 operating loss during fiscal year 2012, and a \$1,092,084 loss in year 2011. These operating losses are offset by the funds received from non-operational revenues.

#### **District Financial Management**

This financial report is designed to provide our customers, taxpayers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances, and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the financial resources it manages and the stewardship of the facilities it maintains. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District 1960 Elgin Street Oroville, California 95966-6613.

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Enterprise Fund			
ASSETS	2012	2011		
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Due from other related agency Taxes receivable Inventories Prepaid expenses	\$ 202,055 165,113 14,984 35,100 47,512 41,466	\$ 77,215 15,000 36,775 58,970 44,110		
Accrued interest  Total Current Assets	1,377_ 507,607	232,910		
Restricted and Noncurrent Assets: Restricted assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Investment in sewer treatment association Capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation)	1,463,027 41,982 12,320,531	1,513,979 57,192 41,982 12,924,778		
Total Restricted and Noncurrent Assets	13,825,540	14,537,931		
Total Assets	14,333,147	14,770,841		
LIABILITIES  Current Liabilities:  Accounts payable and other current liabilities	30,861	24,514		
Due to other fund Accrued compensated absences Due to other related agency Employee flexible spending Amounts payable from restricted assets: Bond interest payable Current portion - bonds payable	1,894 26,807 154,929 4,258 99,795 67,000	48,499 154,697 1,199 101,185 64,000		
Total Current Liabilities	385,544	394,094		
Long-Term Liabilities Accrued compensated absences Bonds payable	11,489 4,528,000	20,785 4,595,000		
Total Long-Term Liabilities	4,539,489	4,615,785		
Total Liabilities	4,925,033	5,009,879		
NET ASSETS  Investment in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted Unrestricted	7,725,531 1,296,232 386,351	8,265,778 1,405,986 89,198		
Total Net Assets	\$ 9,408,114	\$ 9,760,962		

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Enterprise Fund			
		2012	2011	
Operating Revenues:				
Sewer service charges	\$	853,665	\$	630,940
Pumping charges		83,043		74,670
Connection fees		14,259		13
Other sales and services		4,435		1,109
Special Assessment - Kelly Ridge		44,995		44,965
Total Operating Revenues		1,000,397		751,697
Operating Expenses:				
Administration and general		629,279		693,523
Sewage collection and services		572,689		529,831
Depreciation	0	629,724		620,427
Total Operating Expenses		1,831,692		1,843,781
Net Operating Income (Loss)		(831,295)		(1,092,084)
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):				
Taxes		271,513		291,137
Interest		40,451		38,812
Capacity charges		43,913		6,634
RDA loan surcharges		352,614		350,800
Miscellaneous		2,064		1,237
SC-OR pipe patch grant		14,984		15,000
Prior year capital project costs abandoned		(47,502)		(000 070)
Bond interest expense		(199,590)		(202,370)
Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)		478,447		501,250
Change in net assets		(352,848)		(590,834)
Total Net Assets - July 1		9,760,962		10,351,796
Total Net Assets - June 30	\$	9,408,114	\$_	9,760,962

# LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Enterprise Fund			
	_	2012		2011
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Cash received from customers Other income Cash paid to employees for services Cash paid to suppliers	\$	920,261 49,430 (912,002) (295,320)	\$	737,198 46,074 (866,322) (340,467)
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Operating Activities		(237,631)		(423,517)
Cash Flows From Noncapital Financing Activities: Miscellaneous nonoperational receipts Property taxes		17,064 273,188	2.	1,237 304,832
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Noncapital Financing Activities	s <del></del>	290,252		306,069
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities: Additions to property, plant, and equipment Capacity charges received Principal paid on capital debt Interest paid on capital debt RDA loan surcharges		(72,979) 43,913 (64,000) (200,980) 352,614		(374,322) 6,634 (61,000) (203,695) 350,800
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Capital and Related Financing Activities		58,568		(281,583)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Interest on investments		39,914		41,382
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Investing Activities		39,914		41,382
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash		151,103	(357,649)	
Cash Balance - July 1		1,513,979	1,871,628	
Cash Balance - June 30	\$	1,665,082	\$_	1,513,979
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: Operating Income (Loss) Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to	\$	(831,295)	\$	(1,092,084)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:  Depreciation Change in assets and liabilities:		629,724		620,427
(Increase) decrease in receivables (Increase) decrease in inventories (Increase) decrease in prepaid assets Increase (decrease) in payables		(30,706) 11,458 2,644 (19,456)		31,576 13,841 (11,160) 13,883
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Operating Activities	\$	(237,631)	\$	(423,517)

### LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS AGENCY FUND JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Villa Verona Assessment District			
	Agency Fund			
		2012	2011	
<u>ASSETS</u>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	29,240	\$	29,953
Due from other fund		1,894		
Assessments receivable - delinquent		833		2,435
Total Assets		31,967	_	32,388
<u>LIABILITIES</u>				
Current Liabilities:				
Easements payable		2,703		2,703
Due to bondholders		29,264		29,685
Total Liabilities		31,967		32,388
NET ASSETS				
Unrestricted	9	-		
Total Net Assets	\$	_	\$	

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District (District) is a California Public Utility District that was organized on June 27, 1938. It provides sewage collection and transmission services to residents of the Kelly Ridge and other eastern Oroville areas.

#### A. <u>Definition of the Reporting Entity</u>

The District's financial statements include the accounts of all District operations. The criteria for including organizations as component units within the District's reporting entity, as set forth in Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 39, include:

- The organization is legally separate (can sue and be sued in their own name).
- The District holds the corporate powers of the organization.
- The District appoints a majority of the organization's governing board.
- The District is able to impose its will on the organization.
- The organization has the potential to impose a financial benefit/burden on the District.
- There is fiscal dependency by the organization on the District.

Based on the aforementioned criteria, the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District has no component units.

#### B. Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The District accounts for its operations and activities as a utility enterprise fund. The enterprise fund is operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user service charges.

The District distinguishes *operating* revenues and expenses from *non-operating* items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. Principal operating revenues of the District are charges to customers for sales and services including operating charges collected through special assessments on certain property tax rolls. Operating expenses for the District include salaries and benefits, supplies and other services, and insurance premiums.

Revenues and expenses are recognized on the accrual basis. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned and expenses are recognized in the period incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows actually take place.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as needed.

#### Fiduciary Fund

Agency Fund - Agency funds are used to account for assets held by a governmental unit in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governmental units, and/or other funds. The agency fund is custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and does not involve measurement of results of operations.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### C. Inventory

Inventories are valued at cost using the average cost method.

#### D. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### E. Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments

For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District's proprietary fund considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Investments at June 30, 2012 and 2011, are stated at fair value.

#### F. Restricted Assets

The District has presented restricted cash for amounts received from the District's capacity charge and RDA surcharge. These amounts have been classified as restricted assets on the statement of net assets because their use is limited by applicable bond or other covenant.

#### G. Interfund Receivables and Payables

The purpose of the interfund balance is to reflect the District's collections of Villa Verona special assessments that will be transferred to the Villa Verona bank account at a future date. The amounts due Villa Verona Special Assessment from the District were \$1,894 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### H. Bad Debts

It is the District's policy to collect past due accounts by adding such amounts to the County of Butte's property tax rolls. As such, it is management's opinion that past due accounts are, in all material respects, fully collectible, and no allowance for doubtful accounts has been recorded on the accompanying financial statements.

#### Capital Assets

Property and equipment with a life greater than one year are capitalized and stated at cost, except for portions acquired by contribution, which are reported at the agreed upon cost to the donee, which equates fair market value. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred unless they extend the asset's useful life. Depreciation is computed using the straight line method over asset estimated useful lives as presented below:

Subsurface lines	50 years
Sewage collection	10 - 50 years
General plant and administrative facilities	3 - 30 years

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Capital Assets (continued)

Construction-in-progress – Costs associated with development stage projects are accumulated in the construction-in-progress account until the project is fully developed. Once the project is complete, the entire cost of the project is transferred to a capital asset account and depreciated over the estimated useful life.

#### J. Accrued Compensated Absences

All probationary and permanent employees of the District earn sick leave at a rate of one working day per month and can accrue an unlimited amount of hours. The District will buy back unused sick leave at a rate of one-half day for each whole day accrued. Said buy back is limited only to time over and above 30 days of accrued sick leave. No more than 12 days will be bought back in any given year unless employment is voluntarily terminated in which case all accrued sick leave over and above 30 days will be bought back at said one-half rate. Retiring employees of the District can accrue an unlimited amount of hours to be used toward the cost of personal health insurance plan upon retirement, or it may be converted to additional CalPERS service credits.

Vacation is based upon the length of service. The District will buy back accumulated vacation of an employee upon termination or retirement from the District.

#### NOTE 2 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

	2012	2011
Statement of net assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 202,055	
Restricted Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,463,027	\$ 1,513,979
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,665,082	\$ 1,513,979
Cash and investments as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, consist of the following:		
Cash on hand	\$ 500	\$ 700
Deposits with financial institutions	1,083,598	924,115
LAIF	580,984	589,164_
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,665,082	\$ 1,513,979

Investment Policies - The District may invest in the following types of investments:

- Passbook savings account demand deposits
- Money market accounts
- Certificates of deposit with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies
- Local Agency Investment Fund (State Pool) demand deposits
- Mutual funds

#### NOTE 2 CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

The District has, in practice, limited deposits and investments to insured and/or collateralized demand deposit accounts, the State Treasurer's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), and certificates of deposit. The District does not enter into reverse repurchase agreements.

#### Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. LAIF does not have a rating provided by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District does not hold direct investments, therefore, there is no concentration of credit risk.

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure District deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

The District's deposits with financial institutions in excess of federal depository insurance limits were held in uncollateralized accounts. The amounts in excess of federal depository insurance limits were \$132,905 and \$147,869, respectively, for 2012 and 2011.

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for investments. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as LAIF).

#### Investment in State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF. LAIF is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the entity's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the Entity's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

#### NOTE 3 PROPERTY TAX

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1st and are payable in two installments, on December 10 and April 10. The District relies on the competency of the County of Butte for the billing, collection, and distribution of its share of property tax revenues.

#### NOTE 4 CAPITAL ASSETS

Construction-in-progress – The District has been involved in various construction projects throughout the year. The balances of the various construction projects that comprise the construction-in-progress balance at June 30, 2012 and 2011, are as follows:

	<u>r</u>	2012		2011
District Office Expansion			\$	3,767
Villa Verona Mainline	\$	400,439		342,196
District Maps Update				31,298
State Line Phase III		67,459		65,931
Burbank Bypass				11,172
Hanging Tree Pump Station Upgrade				1,265
Total Construction-In-Progress	\$	467,898	_\$_	455,629

The following is a summary of changes in the District's property, plant, and equipment during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

		Balance y 1, 2011	 Additions		eletions		Balance ne 30, 2012
Nondepreciable Capital Assets:							
Land	\$	107,174				\$	107,174
Construction-in-progress	A <del></del>	455,629	\$ 72,979	\$	60,710		467,898
Total Nondepreciable Capital Assets		562,803	72,979	11	60,710		575,072
Depreciable Capital Assets:							
Subsurface lines	1:	2,753,352	13,208				12,766,560
Sewer collection facilities		4,477,270					4,477,270
General plant and administration facilities	:	3,936,680	 	76			3,936,680
Total Depreciable Assets	2	1,167,302	 13,208		-		21,180,510
Less: Accumulated Depreciation:							
Subsurface lines	(4	4,548,202)	(315,445)				(4,863,647)
Sewer collection facilities	(2	2,258,117)	(88,697)				(2,346,814)
General plant and administration facilities	(	1,999,008)	 (225,582)				(2,224,590)
Total Accumulated Depreciation	3)	3,805,327)	(629,724)				(9,435,051)
Depreciable Capital Assets, Net	12	2,361,975	(616,516)		-		11,745,459
Total Capital Assets, Net	\$ 12	2,924,778	\$ (543,537)	\$	60,710	\$ '	12,320,531

#### NOTE 4 CAPITAL ASSETS (continued)

		Balance y 1, 2010	Additions		Additions Deletions		Balance June 30, 2011	
Nondepreciable Capital Assets:								
Land	\$	107,174					\$	107,174
Construction-in-progress		329,181	_\$_	263,590	_\$	137,142		455,629
Total Nondepreciable Capital Assets		436,355		263,590		137,142		562,803
Depreciable Capital Assets:								
Subsurface lines	1	2,616,210		137,142			1	12,753,352
Sewer collection facilities	4,477,270							4,477,270
General plant and administration facilities		3,825,948		110,732				3,936,680
Total Depreciable Assets	2	0,919,428		247,874			2	21,167,302
Less: Accumulated Depreciation:								
Subsurface lines	(	4,233,662)		(314,540)			(	(4,548,202)
Sewer collection facilities	(	2,169,421)		(88,696)			(	(2,258,117)
General plant and administration facilities	(	1,781,817)		(217,191)			(	(1,999,008)
Total Accumulated Depreciation	(	8,184,900)	_	(620,427)		-	(	(8,805,327)
Depreciable Capital Assets, Net	1	2,734,528		(372,553)		-	1	2,361,975
Total Capital Assets, Net	\$ 13	3,170,883	\$	(108,963)	\$	137,142	\$ 1	2,924,778

#### NOTE 5 LONG-TERM DEBT

Changes in Long-Term Liabilities – Long-term liability activity, excluding the liability for compensated absences, for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, was as follows:

	Balance 07/01/11	Additions	Deletions	Balance 06/30/12	Due Within One Year
Sewer Revenue Bonds - Series A Sewer Revenue Bonds - Series B Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$ 2,914,000 1,745,000 \$ 4,720,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 40,000 24,000 \$ 64,000	\$ 2,874,000 1,721,000 \$ 4,595,000	\$ 42,000 25,000 \$ 67,000
	Balance 07/01/10	Additions	Deletions	Balance 06/30/11	Due Within One Year
Sewer Revenue Bonds - Series A Sewer Revenue Bonds - Series B	\$ 2,952,000 1,768,000	\$ - 	\$ 38,000 23,000	\$ 2,914,000 1,745,000	\$ 40,000 24,000
Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$ 4,720,000	\$ -	\$ 61,000	\$ 4,659,000	\$ 64,000

Sewer Revenue Bonds – The District authorized the issuance of \$5,000,000 in Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District Sewer Revenue Bonds (Bonds). The Bonds were issued in two separate series. The Series A bonds (\$3,150,000) were issued in fiscal year 2004, and the Series B bonds (\$1,850,000) were issued in 2005. All the bonds were purchased by the USDA Rural Development through its Rural Utilities Service. The District has pledged all revenues of the enterprise fund to repay the Bonds, assessed a "RDA loan surcharge" for the purpose of making Bond principal and interest payments, and established required debt service reserves.

#### NOTE 5 LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

On the Series A bonds, interest accrues at a rate of 4.25% per annum. "Series A" requires annual principal payments ranging from \$29,000 to \$163,000 each July 1 through the year 2043. Interest is paid semi-annually on January 1 and July 1. Total "Series A" bonds outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011, was \$2,874,000 and \$2,914,000, respectively.

On the Series B bonds, interest accrues at a rate of 4.50% per annum. "Series B" requires annual principal payments ranging from \$19,000 to \$100,000 each July 1 through 2043. Interest is paid semi-annually on January 1 and July 1. Total "Series B" bonds outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011, was \$1,721,000 and \$1,745,000, respectively.

Debt service requirements to maturity are presented on the following schedules:

	Year Ended		Sewer Revenue Bonds Series A				
s	June 30,		Principal		Interest		Total
	2013	\$	42,000	\$	122,145	\$	164,145
<b>r</b>	2014		44,000		120,360		164,360
-	2015		46,000		118,490		164,490
•	2016		48,000		116,535		164,535
•	2017		50,000		114,495		164,495
	2018-2022		286,000		538,602		824,602
	2023-2027		356,000		472,175		828,175
	2028-2032		443,000		389,427		832,427
	2033-2037		551,000		286,492		837,492
	2038-2042		688,000		158,313		846,313
	2043-2044		320,000	_	20,528	_	340,528
		\$ 2	2,874,000	\$	2,457,562	_\$	5,331,562
	Year Ended June 30,			Bon	ds Series B Interest		Total
S			rincipal	*		-	Total
,	2013	\$	25,000	\$	77,445	\$	102,445
r	2014		26,000		76,320		102,320
r	2015		27,000		75,150		102,150
r	2016		29,000		73,935		102,935
	2017		30,000		72,630		102,630
	2018-2022		171,000		341,685		512,685
	2023-2027		212,000		299,610		511,610
	2028-2032		265,000		247,455		512,455
	2033-2037		330,000		182,250		512,250
	2038-2042		412,000		100,935		512,935
	2043-2044		194,000		13,230		207,230
		\$ 1	,721,000	_\$_	1,560,645	_\$_	3,281,645

#### NOTE 6 SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS DISTRICT

The District acts as agent for the property owners of the Villa Verona Assessment District in collecting assessments, forwarding the collections to the assessment bond holders, and initiating foreclosure proceedings when required. The District is not obligated in any manner for the repayment of the special assessment debt. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Villa Verona Assessment District had \$240,000 and \$246,975, in outstanding bonds payable, respectively.

#### NOTE 7 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLANS

The District offers its full-time employees three deferred compensation plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code 457. The plans are available to all District employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. Employees may participate in all three plans, however, the District will only contribute to the PERS 457 Plan. This contribution amounts to 1% of the employee's salary only if the employee is matching 1% into the PERS 457 Plan. The PERS 457 Plan and District match began July 1, 2008. Amounts credited to deferred compensation are deposited in savings or other type of investment accounts with ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company, Nationwide, and PERS. For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the District made contributions of \$4,674 and \$4,742, respectively, to the PERS 457 Plan. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseen emergency.

All amounts of compensation deferred under the plan, all property and rights purchased with those amounts, and all income attributed to those amounts, property, or rights are held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the plan participants and their beneficiaries. As required by GASB Statement 32, the District does not meet the criteria for inclusion of plan assets within its financial statements and has, therefore, excluded the plan assets from the accompanying financial statements.

The following is a summary of plan activity for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011		
Market value - July 1	\$ 323,332	\$	292,941	
Employee contributions	30,567		32,223	
Employer contributions	4,674		4,742	
Plan earnings	7,981		47,122	
Withdrawals/fees	(143,592)	-	(53,696)	
Market value - June 30	\$ 222,962	\$	323,332	

#### NOTE 8 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

**Plan Description.** The District began its defined benefit pension plan on March 1, 2006. Public Employees' Retirement System of the State of California (PERS) provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS is a cost sharing, multiple-employer pension plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System. Government Code Section 20000 of the State of California assigns the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to the State legislature. The California Public Employees' Retirement System issues a publicly available financial report and required supplementary information for the Commission. That report may be obtained by writing to California Public Employees' Retirement System, Actuarial and Employer Services Division, P.O. Box 942709, Sacramento, CA 94339-2709 or by calling (888) 225-7377.

#### NOTE 8 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

**Funding Policy.** Plan members are required to contribute 8.0% of their annual covered salary and the District is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The current rate is 24.617% of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the state legislature.

Beginning July 1, 2008, the District and the employees agreed to the employer paying 100% of the 8% of the employees' required PERS contribution as allowed under *Internal Revenue Code* Section 414(h)(2).

**Annual Pension Cost.** For 2012, the District's annual pension cost was \$126,346, and the actual pension cost for the District's employees was \$47,446. The actual amount paid by the District was \$173,792, and the actual amount paid by the employees was \$0. These amounts were equal to the District's required and actual normal cost contributions. The required contribution for fiscal year 2011/12 was determined as a part of the June 30, 2009, actuarial valuation. The required employer contribution for 2012/2013 fiscal year is 24.878% of covered payroll, and the District has agreed to pay 100% of the employee required 8% contribution. The total District contribution rate for covered payroll will be 32.878% for 2012/2013 fiscal year.

#### Three-Year Trend Information for the District

Fiscal Year Ending	al Combined	Percentage Contributed
June 30, 2010	\$ 159,848	100%
June 30, 2011	\$ 173,591	100%
June 30, 2012	\$ 173,792	100%

#### NOTE 9 RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. It is the District's policy to transfer the risks that may arise from these and other events through the purchase of commercial insurance. Over the past three years, no loss settlements have exceeded insurance coverage amounts.

#### NOTE 10 JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT

On March 29, 1973, the District, the City of Oroville, and the Thermalito Irrigation District entered into a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement that reorganized its governing board and created an independent Agency known as the Sewerage Commission - Oroville Region (SC-OR). SC-OR was created to operate a sewerage treatment plant for the mutual advantage of the member entities. The District presently reports \$41,982 (its original contribution to SC-OR) as an investment. Two members (only one with voting powers) of SC-OR's Board of Commissioners are appointed by each member entity. SC-OR's operating and capital budgets are funded by user charges for sewerage treatment services provided to the residents of each member entity. Each member entity is responsible for billing, collecting, and remitting SC-OR's user charges applicable to their separate residents. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the District held \$154,929 and \$154,697. respectively, in unremitted SC-OR service charges and \$0 and \$0, respectively, in unremitted facility charges that have been reported as a liability on the accompanying balance sheet as "due to other related agency." Additionally, SC-OR owed the District \$14,984 and \$15,000 at June 30. 2012 and 2011, respectively, for a pipe patch grant to minimize inflow and infiltration into the system that has been reported as an asset on the accompanying balance sheet as "due from other related agency."

SC-OR's separate financial statements may be obtained by contacting its administrative offices at:

P.O. Box 1350 Oroville, CA 95965

Noted below is a condensed audited balance sheet of SC-OR as of June 30, 2012, which is the latest report available:

	June 30, 20°			
Cash Other assets	\$	6,603,726 11,982,007		
Total Assets	\$	18,585,733		
Liabilities Equity	\$	421,938 18,163,795		
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$	18,585,733		
Total Revenues Total Expenses	\$	2,667,079 (2,354,615)		
Net Change In Equity	\$	312,464		

#### **NOTE 11 RESTRICTED NET ASSETS**

The District has restricted a portion of its net assets to segregate funds restricted for use by external sources as follows:

	2012		 2011		
Restricted for capacity capital outlay	\$	595,987	\$ 790,375		
Restricted for revenue bond debt service		140,819	140,819		
Restricted for RDA loan surcharge	-	559,426	 474,792		
Total Restricted Net Assets	\$	1,296,232	\$ 1,405,986		



### LAKE OROVILLE AREA PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

SCHEDULE I

		Budget		Actual	F	/ariance avorable ifavorable)
Operating Revenues:	16					
Sewer service and pumping charges	\$	888,672	\$	936,708	\$	48,036
Permit and inspection fees		1,200		å		(1,200)
Connection fees		3,200		14,259		11,059
Special assessment - Kelly Ridge		45,000		44,995		(5)
Other services and unanticipated revenue		8,500		4,435		(4,065)
Sales		180				(180)
Total Operating Revenues	· ·	946,752		1,000,397		53,645
Operating Expenses:						
Salaries and wages		506,557		465,562		40,995
Employee benefits		423,176		387,056		36,120
Director's fees and benefits		31,980		28,397		3,583
Gasoline, oil, and fuel		36,000		29,476		6,524
Insurance		43,000		43,681		(681)
Memberships		3,150		42		3,108
Office expense		23,850		27,219		(3,369)
Professional services		38,200		80,725		(42,525)
Repairs and maintenance		53,050		69,478		(16,428)
Travel and meetings		2,700		3,102		(402)
Utilities		76,320		66,626		9,694
Miscellaneous and unanticipated expenses	-	12,120		604		11,516
Total Operating Expenses		1,250,103		1,201,968		48,135
Net Operating Income		(303,351)	<u></u>	(201,571)		101,780
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):					50	
Taxes		338,000		271,513		(66,487)
Interest income		13,800		40,451		26,651
Capacity charges		6,000		43,913		37,913
RDA loan surcharges		352,560		352,614		54
Miscellaneous and unanticipated revenues		51,000		17,048		(33,952)
Prior year capital project costs abandoned		.,		(47,502)		(47,502)
Bond interest expense		(202,000)		(199,590)		2,410
Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)		559,360		478,447		(80,913)
Other Budgeted Items:						
Capitalized Wages		(20,000)		(13,095)		6,905
Capitalized costs		(53,000)		(59,884)		(6,884)
Unanticipated expenses		(50,000)				50,000
Total Other Budgeted Items	***	(123,000)		(72,979)		50,021
Net Income (Loss) - Budget Basis	\$	133,009		203,897	\$	70,888
Net Assets - July 1, 2011				9,760,962		
Reconcile Budget to GAAP Basis:				* 1.000 * 500 miles		
Capitalized employee costs				12 005		
Depreciation (not budgeted)				13,095		
				(629,724)		
Budgeted capital expenditures				59,884		
Net Assets - June 30, 2012				9,408,114		



Davis W. Hammon, Jr., CPA (1924-1989)

Stephen B. Norman, CPA • PFS Stephen J. Herr, CPA Kerry A. Webber, CPA James L. Duckett, CPA

#### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District Oroville, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated September 20, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Management of Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described below to be material weaknesses.

## 2012-1 Reliance Upon Auditor For Preparation of Financial Statements and Footnote Disclosures

**Observation**: Management relies on the independent auditor to determine and prepare the proper presentation of the annual financial statements and related footnote disclosures.

Recommendation: We recommend that management consider the cost benefit of hiring an accountant familiar with generally accepted accounting principles or engaging an independent Certified Public Accounting firm to compile full disclosure financial statements.



2012-2 Reliance Upon Auditor For Maintaining Fixed Asset Schedule, Computing Depreciation Amounts, and Estimating Useful Lives.

**Observation**: Management relies on the independent auditor to maintain the District's fixed asset schedule and to compute and estimate depreciation amounts.

**Recommendation**: We recommend that management consider the cost benefit of either purchasing fixed asset software or designing an Excel spreadsheet to maintain the District's fixed assets and to compute depreciation and estimate useful lives for the District's fixed assets.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, and the Office of the Controller of the State of California and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Davis Hammon & Co.

September 20, 2012

Davis W. Hammon, Jr., CPA (1924-1989)

Stephen B. Norman, CPA • PFS Stephen J. Herr, CPA Kerry A. Webber, CPA James L. Duckett, CPA

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES APPLIED TO APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT WORKSHEETS

The Board of Directors of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District Oroville, California 95966

We have applied the procedures enumerated below to the Appropriations Limit calculations of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District for the year ended June 30, 2012. These procedures, which were agreed to by the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District and the League of California Cities (as presented in the League publication entitled *Article XIIIB Appropriations Limitation Uniform Guidelines*) were performed solely to assist the District in meeting the requirements of Section 1.5 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution.

This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures performed and our findings were as follows:

We obtained the District's completed alternate computational Appropriations Limit worksheets and compared the limit and annual adjustment factors included in those worksheets to the limit and annual adjustment factors that were adopted by resolution of the Board of Directors. We also compared the population and inflation options included in the aforementioned worksheets to those that were selected by a recorded vote of the Board of Directors.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

2) Using the District's alternate computational worksheet, we added last year's limit to the total current year limit adjustments, as computed, for this year and compared the results to this year's limit.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

We compared the prior year appropriations limit presented in the alternate computational worksheets to the prior year appropriations limit adopted by the Board of Directors for the prior year.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Appropriations Limit alternate computational worksheets. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. No procedures have been performed with respect to the determination of the appropriation limit for the base year, as defined by the League publication *Article XIIIB Appropriations Limitation Uniform Guidelines*.



This report is intended solely for the use of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Davis Hammon & Co.

September 20, 2012

Davis W. Hammon, Jr., CPA (1924-1989)

Stephen B. Norman, CPA • PFS Stephen J. Herr, CPA Kerry A. Webber, CPA James L. Duckett, CPA

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE RELATING TO THE SEWERAGE COMMISSION - OROVILLE REGION

The Board of Directors of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District Oroville, California 95966

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated September 20, 2012. Our audit of such basic financial statements was made in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and, accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The management of the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District is responsible for the District's compliance with various resolutions and policies of the Sewerage Commission - Oroville Region (SC-OR) pertaining to the sewer regional facility charges and monthly sewer service charges. In connection with our audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records applicable to new sewer connections and monthly sewer service charges to determine the District's compliance with SC-OR's resolutions and policies.

The results of our tests indicate that, with respect to the items tested, the Lake Oroville Area Public Utility District complied, in all material respects, with the provisions referred to in the preceding paragraph. With respect to items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District had not complied, in all material respects, with those provisions.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, and the Sewerage Commission - Oroville Region and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Davis Hammon & Co.

September 20, 2012